

Attorney Docket: RO0230US (#90568)

5-2201 DON

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Reinhard Koch, Frank Müller and Jorgen Friies

Serial No.

09/308,407

Group No.

1772

Filed:

July 8, 1999

Examiner

M.Miggins

For

SEALING MEDIUM FOR COMPOSITE PACKAGING MATERIALS

Box AF

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

10 mg

MAY 1 8 2001

TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF

Dear Sir:

1. Transmitted herewith, in triplicate, is the APPEAL BRIEF in this application, with respect to the Notice of Appeal filed on March 14, 2001.

NOTE: "The appellant shall, within 2 months from the date of the notice of appeal under § 1.191(a) or within the time allowed for response to the action appealed from, if such time is later, file a brief in triplicate."

2. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

(X) other than a small entity.

() a small entity.

A verified statement:

() is attached.

) was already filed.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed: Box AF, Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231, on the date below.

May 14, 2001

Katherine R. Vieyra

3.	FEE FOR FILING APPEAL BRIEF Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(c), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:				
	() (X)	small entity other than a small ent	tity	\$ 155.00 \$ 310.00	
			APPEAL BRIEF Fe	e Due:	<u>\$310.00</u>
4.	EXTE	ENSION OF TERM			
	NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a) are subject to the provisions of §1.136 for paten applications. 37 CFR 1.191(d). See also Notice of November 5, 1985 (1060 O.G. 27).				
The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply. (complete (a) or (b) as applicable)					
	Total reques	months sted	Fee for other than small entity		Fee for small entity
((() two) three	month months e months months	\$ 110.00 \$ 390.00 \$ 890.00 \$1,390.00		\$ 55.00 \$195.00 \$445.00 \$695.00
				Fee	e <u>\$</u>
If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor. (Check and complete the next item, if applicable) () An extension for months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.					
	(X)	Applicant believes that petition is being made		sibility that app	\$. owever, this conditional licant has inadvertently ime.
5.	TOTAL FEE DUE				
	The to	tal fee due is: Appeal Brief fee Extension fee (if any)			\$310.00 \$
			TOTAL Fee Due:		<u>\$310.00</u>

6. FEE PAYMENT

() Check in the amount of \$

(X) Credit Card Payment Form authorizing a charge in the amount of \$310.00.

() Charge Account No. 08-2441 in the amount of \$

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

NOTE: Fees should be itemized in such a manner that it is clear for which purpose the fees are paid. 37 CFR 1.22(b).

7. FEE DEFICIENCY

WARNING: If no fees are to be paid on filing the following items should not be completed.

NOTE: IF there is a deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following additional fees required by this paper and during the entire pendency of this application to Deposit Account No. 08-2441.

- (X) 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees)
- (X) 37 CFR 1.16 (presentation of extra claims)
- (X) 37 CFR 1.16(e) (surcharge for filing the basic filing fee and/or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application)
- (X) 37 CFR 1.17 (application processing fees)
- () 37 CFR 1.18 (issue fee at or before mailing Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.311(b).

Respectfully submitted,

D. PETER HOCHBERG

Reg. No. 24,603

D. PETER HOCHBERG CO., L.P.A.

1940 East 6th Street - 6th Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2294

(216) 771-3800

DPH/KRV

ENC: Credit Card Payment Form



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEALING MEDIUM FOR COMPOSITE PACKAGING MATERIALS

Applicants

Reinhard Koch, Frank Müller and Jorgen Friies

Serial No.

09/308,407

Filed

July 8, 1999

Title

•

Group

-

1772

Examiner

M. Miggins

Attorney Dkt No.

RO0230US (#90568)

Box AF

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

05/17/2001 GVEFFERA 000000\$3 09308407

01 FC:120

310.00 CP

APPEAL BRIEF

Dear Sir:

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal filed in this case on March 14, 2001. The fees required under §1.17(c) are dealt with in the accompanying "Transmittal of Appeal Brief."

This brief is submitted in triplicate (37 C.F.R. 1.192(a)).

Real Party in Interest The real party in interest is the assignee of the applicant, LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme AG. This application was assigned from Reinhard Koch, Frank Müller and Jorgen Friies to LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme AG on May 28, 1999 and recorded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 8, 1999 at Reel 010131 and Frame 0870 on four (4) pages. A copy of the assignment document is attached.

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Status of Claims 9-17 are pending in the application. The rejection of claims 9-17

is being appealed.

Status of Amendments An amendment has been filed concurrent with this appeal brief on May 14, 2001 to respond to Examiner's objection to claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, applicant amended claim 17 to delete "encompassed".

Summary of Invention The invention is based on the object of providing a sealing medium which develops sufficiently high adhesive strengths when applied in an extremely thin layer, has the consistency of a printing ink which can be processed with conventional printing machines, allows insignificant uptake of active ingredient because of its chemical composition, in particular displays a barrier function towards volatile active ingredients such as nicotine, and can be used without difficulty, for example without elaborate drying of a laminating adhesive or melting of a comparatively thick sealing film, in simple processes (page 2, lines 1-14). The object is achieved by the creation of a packaging system made of composite packaging material for the sealed enclosure of products, such as transdermal therapeutic systems, which are subject to changes due to exchange with their environment or to partial volitilization of active ingredient. This packaging system contains, on the product side, a barrier layer to which a heat sealing layer is applied by providing a heat sealing lacquer which is in the form of a liquid phase for applying extremely thin sealing layers in a printing process, for example to partial areas of composite packaging materials (page 2, lines 18-21). The achievement of the invention is that, as a consequence of its small layer thickness, the sealing lacquer permits insignificant uptake of active ingredient (page 2, lines 23-25). Moreover, the possibility of applying the sealing laquer of the invention in a printing process only to specific areas of packaging materials (page 2, lines 26-28) means a further reduction in the amount used. Thus the costs of materials as well as possible interactions with active ingredient in the packaged plaster are likewise reduced. The small amount of sealing medium used has advantages both in ecological and in economic respects not only for the production of plaster packaging but also for the disposal thereof. In addition, using a printing process facilitates accurate application of the sealing lacquer to only the sealing area and thus reduces interactions between product and packaging material. On the other hand, use of the sealing laquer only in the sealing area means that it is possible to have packaging systems in which desired interactions, for example, between product and packaging as in the case of moisture absorbers (page 3, line 6), can take the desired form. By contrast, with the full-area sealing layers previously employed, the films or sheets always formed a first layer completely surrounding the product of a packaging. The invention makes it possible for sealing layers which can be applied thereby to packaging material areas to have weights per unit area between 1 and 15 g/m^2 , preferably weights per unit area between 2.5 and 3.5 g/m² (page 3, lines 22-25).

<u>Issues</u> The following issues are presented in the appeal:

Issue 1: Was the rejection of claims 9-12 and 14 as being anticipated by Wardwell (U.S. Patent No. 3,938,659) in view of Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,104) proper?

Issue 2: Was the rejection of claims 13 and 15 being anticipated by Wardwell (U.S. Patent No. 3,938,659) in view of Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,104) and further in view of Flieger (U.S. Patent No. 5,447,772) proper?

Issue 3: Was the rejection of claims 16 and 17 being anticipated by Wardwell (U.S. Patent No. 3,938,659) in view of Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,104) and further in view of Wilking (U.S. Patent No. 5,698,217) proper?

Grouping of Claims Claim 9 is the only independent claim; claims 10, 11, 13, 14, and 15 depend from claim 9, claims 12 and 16 depend from claim 10 and claim 17 depends from claim 16.

<u>Argument</u> Applicant believes that the rejections are improper and should be reversed. First of all, applicants wish to emphasize that the heat sealing layer presented in the present claim 9 differ from the references, as explained below, with respect to the following limitations:

a single layer and not a laminate, and resistant to the pharmaceutically active ingredient, and not thicker than 15 g/m² weight per unit area, and applied from a liquid phase in a printing mode, and displays adhesion forces in the region of strength of the packing material.

Issue 1:

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 9-12 and 14 <u>as being</u> anticipated by Wardwell (U.S. Patent No. 3,938,659) in view of Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,104). Wardwell teaches a frangible bonding system utilizing blush lacquer as frangible link and a heat sealable material. Packages comprising this frangible bonding system were particularly adapted for packing pharmaceutical products, which, in column 1, lines 13-15, are defined as sterile surgeon's gloves, masks, surgical dressings and surgical kits rather than pharmaceutical compositions

comprising pharmaceutically active agents. In column 5, lines 57-65, it is stated that the heat seal material shall provide a poor barrier to ethylene oxide which is a gas used for sterilization and that the gas penetrates the heat seal coating. This indicates that the desired heat seal material is permeable to gas and thus would be permeable to volatile active agents also.

Applicant respectfully submits that Examiner is incorrect in his statement in paper #8, page 4, that "Wardwell teaches ... a heat sealing layer wherein the heat sealing layer is formed by an active ingredient-resistant". Instead, Wardwell discloses a blush laquer which may be applied by printing (see column 5, lines 15-17), onto which the adhesive material or heat sealing layer is overlayed forming a bonding system; application of the heat sealing layer is by hot melt or in solution or suspension (see column 5, lines 42-45). Wardwell does not apply his heat sealing layer by printing, nor does he even mention active ingredient-resistance. Thus, taken by itself, Wardwell's teaching clearly leads away from the present invention. Therefore, it is believed that those skilled in the art would consider the teaching of Wardwell as unsuitable to solve the problem underlying applicant's inventive packaging because the heat sealing layer is not applied by printing and, since it enables penetration, is not resistant to the active agents.

On the other hand, Hunt et al. teach a very particular package for packing nicotine. This package essentially comprises a laminate of two different barrier layers. First, it contains a nicotine barrier layer comprising a nitrile rubber modified acrylonitrile-methyl acrylate copolymer and it further contains a nicotine degradation agent barrier layer in the form of an aluminum foil. The heat sealable nitrile rubber modified acrylonitrile-methyl acrylate copolymer is particularly suitable for nicotine. However, it remains unknown whether this polymer is also resistant to other pharmaceutically active agents. The aluminum foil provides a general protection against light and moisture to prevent degradation of the active agent. While it is impermeable to most active agents, aluminum foil is not heat sealable nor does it display adhesive forces in the strength of the packing material. Furthermore, to serve as a barrier layer being impermeable to moisture, gases, flavors and light, aluminum foil has to have a thickness of at least 20 μ m, see Lexikon Folientechnik, attached. Given its specific weight of 2.702 g/cm², see Römpp Chemie-Lexikon, attached, an aluminum barrier layer would have a weight of at least 54.05 g/m² which would be far beyond applicant's heat sealable layer's claimed weight of 15 g/m².

Obviousness rejections are based on 35 U.S.C. 103(a). In ACS Hospital Systems, Inc. v. Montefiore Hospital, 221 USPQ 929, 933 the court stated that "Obviousness cannot be established

by combining the teachings of the prior art to produced the claimed invention, absent some teaching or suggestion supporting the combination." The court elaborated by stating that "Under section 103, teaching of references can be combined *only* if there is some prior suggestion or incentive to do so." *Id.* The Examiner provides no such suggestion or teaching to support this combination of references. Applicant believes that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine the teachings of Wardwell and Hunt et al. While, as Examiner states, each patent is a pharmaceutical packaging system using heat sealing, one can clearly distinguish between them in that Wardwell's system contains pharmaceutical supplies while Hunt et al's system contains pharmaceutical ingredients. Further, Wardwell's system enables gas penetration while Hunt et al. prevents it.

Moreover, a combination of both teachings might possibly suggest developing a frangible package comprising two different barrier layers, i.e. a laminate. However, a different, much simpler solution is presented by the present invention where a single layer is heat sealable, resistant against active agents and fairly low in weight. Such an unusual sealing layer as specified in the present claim 9 is definitely not suggested by the combination of both aforementioned teachings and those skilled in the art would not have been enabled to reach the present invention. Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection of claims 9-12 and 14 as being anticipated by Wardwell in view of Hunt et al. be withdrawn.

Issue 2:

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 13 and 15 as being anticipated by Wardwell (U.S. Patent No. 3,938,659) in view of Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,104) and further in view of Flieger (U.S. Patent No. 5,447,772). Applicant believes there is no difference, whether or not the previous two publications, each one by itself or in combination with each other, are combined with the teaching of Flieger. Flieger presents a resealable bond between two or more overlapping multi-layer film surfaces comprising a plurality of closely spaced, interconnecting indentations, such bond to be used in general with plastic packaging. Any heat sealable compositions could be used, as shown in column 5, line 29 to column 6 line 8, regardless of whether they are resistant to an active ingredient or not. Among the putative polymers suitable, one can also find ethylene-methylacrylic acid copolymers.

Applicant believes that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine the teachings of Wardwell and Hunt et al. with Flieger. As stated above, applicant finds no motivation to combine the teachings of Wardwell and Hunt et al. Further, Flieger is addressed

to plastic packages and has no reference, either direct or indirect, to pharmaceuticals. Moreover, the heat sealing aspect of Flieger is merely the ability of certain compositions to be sealed directly together with heat as opposed to directly sealing the overall package together with heat. Flieger's invention relates to resealable bonds which are not heat sealed. Applicant respectfully submits that those skilled in the art would not have been enabled, with these teachings, to reach the present invention. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that this rejection of claims 13 and 15 as being anticipated by Wardwell in view of Hunt et al. and further in view of Flieger be withdrawn.

Issue 3:

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 16 and 17 as being anticipated by Wardwell (U.S. Patent No. 3,938,659) in view of Hunt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,104) and further in view of Wilking (U.S. Patent No. 5,698,217). Applicant again respectfully believes that, as discussed above, there is no motivation to combine Wardwell with Hunt et al. Moreover, the Examiner states in paper #8, page 7, that "... Wardwell does not teach a packaging system comprising a layer within an area which is enclosed by the sealing layer, said enclosed layer entering into interaction with the packaged product and wherein the enclosed layer is formed by a moisture absorbent layer." In fact, Wardwell, in column 5, lines 67-68 and column 6, lines 1-2, suggests the use of heat seal materials which cannot be attacked by high temperature steam, that is, heat seal materials that, while permeable, do not absorb moisture. Wilking, by contrast, teaches a desiccant package for transdermal therapeutical systems with a special desiccant compartment, which is separated from the compartment containing the transdermal therapeutic system. The desiccant package is adhered to the water vapor impermeable sheet of the desiccant compartment by means of a pressure sensitive adhesive which immobilizes the package within the product package. The desiccant package is configured in any way that defines a compartment, see column 3, lines 45-46, yet this package does not touch or interact with the transdermal therapeutical system or its active substance, see Fig. 1. In claim 17 of the present application, a solution is presented where a "simple" desiccant layer interacts with, that is, directly touches, the packaged product. Thus the desiccant device is one layer instead of the package of Wilking. Moreover, Wilking's product resides in a product receiving space and does not abut the desiccant package. These distinctions results in applicant's packaging system being easier and more cost effective to manufacture.

Applicant believes that the teaching of the desiccant package according to Wilking does not suggests the use of a desiccant layer, especially not a desiccant layer which interacts with the

packaged product. Applicant further believes that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine the teachings of Wardwell and Hunt et al. with Wilking, since the commonality between both references is merely that each is a packaging system using heat sealing for distinct types of pharmaceuticals. Wilking is packaging active substances while Wardwell is packaging surgical gloves and masks; Wilking is concerned with removing moisture from his package while Wardwell introduces moisture as part of the sterilization process. Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection of claims 16 and 17 as being anticipated by Wardwell in view of Hunt et al. and further in view of Wilking be withdrawn.

Applicant acknowledges the failure to respond to the Examiner's statements concerning the arrangement of the specification in paper #8, page 2, paragraph 1, but applicant will make the corrections to add section headings under separate cover.

The Examiner objected to claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, applicant amended claim 17 to delete "encompassed" in an Amendment filed May 14, 2001.

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 9-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Peter Hochberg

Reg. No. 24,603 DPH/KRV Enc.: Authorities, Recordation Form; Appendix A; Appendix B

D. PETER HOCHBERG CO., L.P.A.

1940 East 6th Street, 6th Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 771-3800

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being transmitted therewith) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail on the date below in an envelope addressed to: Box AF, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

-7-

JT3 121.

Signal Corce

Attorney Docket No.: FLA-0012

ASSIGNMENT

WHEREAS, we Reinhard Koch, Frank Müller and Jorgen Friies, hereinafter referred to as the assignors, residing respectively at Frankenstrasse 71 b, D-53489, Sinzig, Germany; Sattelbergstrasse 23, A-6833, Klaus/Vorarlberg, Austria and Siland Vaenget 27 B, DK-5269, Odense S, Denmark are the joint inventors of certain inventions or improvements for which we have made application for Letters Patent to the United States, identified as Attorney Docket No. FLA-0012, entitled Sealing Medium for Composite Packaging Materials; and

WHEREAS, LTS LOHMANN THERAPIE-SYSTEME GMBH hereinafter referred to as the assignee, of Neuwied, Germany a corporation of Germany, is desirous of acquiring the entire right, title and interest in and to the said inventions or improvements and in and to the said application, and in, to and under any and all Letters Patent which may be granted on or as a result thereof in any and all countries:

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) to each of us in hand paid by said assignee, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, we, the said assignors, have sold, assigned, transferred and set over, and by these presents do hereby sell, assign, transfer and set over to said assignee, the entire right, title and interest in and to said inventions or improvements and said application and any and all continuations, divisions and renewals of and substitutes for said application, and in, to and under any and all Letters Patent which may be granted on or as a result thereof in the United States and any and all other countries, and any reissue or reissues or extension or extensions of said Letters Patent, and assign to and authorize said assignee, to file in our names applications for Letters Patent in all countries, the same to be held and enjoyed by said assignee, its successors, assigns, nominees or legal representatives, to the full end of the term or terms for which said Letters Patent respectively may be granted, reissued or extended, as fully and entirely as the same would have been held and enjoyed by us had this assignment, sale and transfer not been made.

AND we hereby covenant that we have full right to convey the entire interest herein assigned, and that we have not executed and will not execute any agreement in conflict herewith, and we further covenant and agree that we will each time request is made and without undue delay, execute and deliver all such papers as may be necessary or desirable to perfect the title to said inventions or improvements, said application and said Letters Patent to said inventions.

assignee, its successors, assigns, nominees, or legal representatives, and each of us agrees to communicate to said assignee or to its nominee all known facts respecting said inventions or improvements, said application and said Letters Patent, to testify in any legal proceedings, to sign all lawful papers, to execute all disclaimers and divisional, continuing, reissue and foreign applications, to make all rightful oaths, and generally to do everything possible to aid said assignee, its successors, assigns, nominees and legal representatives to obtain and enforce for its or their own benefit proper patent protection for said inventions or improvements in any and all countries.

AND we hereby authorize and request the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks of the United States and any official of any country or countries foreign to the United States whose duty it is to issue patents on applications as aforesaid, to issue to said assignee, as assignee of the entire right, title and interest, any and all Letters Patent for said inventions or improvements, including any and all Letters Patent of the United States which may be issued and granted on or as a result of the application aforesaid, in accordance with the terms of this assignment.

We further authorize and direct our attorneys to insert below* the serial number and filing date of said application now identified as Attorney Docket No. FLA-0012 as soon as the same shall have been made known to them by the United States Patent Office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands and seals.

(L.S.) FRANK MÜLLER JORGÉN FRIIES

Witnessed by:

Dated: <u>28.5, 1999</u>

(Please print)

Name:

Homika Alda G Address: Libration again & S.

A - 35CE FIRE POL

*The above assignment covers application Serial No.

19/308,407 , filed on May 19, , 1999.

The above insertion made by me this 8th day of July , 1999.

200, Massey Licata, Reg. No. 32,257 of Law Offices of JANE MASSEY LICATA

Aberrainium folic

rechnergesteverte Aulagen und durch Derarige Leistungen sind nur durch bis zu 2.500 m/min. Die Gewichte der Folienrollen, bei Aluminiumfolien als Coils bezeichnet, liegen bei etwa 15t. voll integrierte Transportsysteme mögnesser der Arbeitswalzen betragen 200 Sis 250 mm, die der Stützwalzen 500 en wurden im Lanfe der Entwicklung ständig gesteigert und betragen heute en his zu 1.000 mm. Hente liegen bis 700 mm. Die Walzgeschwindigkeierzengen, wegen hoher Kosten und chlechter Qualität aufgegeben wurden. 950 erreichten die Walzwerke Breidiese bei über 2.000 mm. Die Durch-

um Kantemisse zu entfernen, und dann and auf Warmwalzwerken in mehreren Durchgängen zu Platten von 10 bis 15 den diese mittels Bandwalzwerken auf Die erhaltenen Bänder werden besäumt, nem Glübofen auf ca. 500°C erwännt mm gewalzt. Nach dem Ertalten wereine Dicke von 0,6-0,8 mm gebracht. Ausgangsmaterial sind Walzbarren aus Reinaluminium, die bis zu 15 t Gewicht haben können. Diese werden von ibrer Gußbaut durch Fräsen befreit, in eiaufgewickelt.

so erhaltenen Vorwalzbänder müssen deshalb durch Glüben bei 400 bis 500 'C wieder in einen weichen Zustand durch das Kaltwalzen wird die Härte des Materials wesentlich erhöht. Die Bei der mechanischen Verfonnung ibergeführt werden, um die Weiterverarbeitung zu ermöglichen.

valze zugekehrte Seite eine glänzende Dedurch hat thre der polierten Stahl-Die Folien werden zweilagig gewalzt.

glinzende Folien sind herstellbar. Man muß dann jedoch auf die größere Wirtschaftlichkeit der beidseitigen Walzung verzichten. Die Dicken solcher Folien ng gewalzten zweiten Folie zugekehrte Seite matt ist. Auch beidseitig hoch-Oberfläche, während die der gleichzeiiegen über 12-15 µm.

Durch die starke mechanische Beanfrei und sehr sauber.

öle eingesetzt, durch die Riickstände höher viskoser Walzöle aus vochergegangenen Walzprozessen restlos verdrängt werden. Die niedermolekularen Walzöle werden ilnerseits beim Glüftyrozes rückstandslos verdampfl. Herfür haben sich besonders niedermo-Beim Kaltwalzen der Vorwalzbänder werden spezielle, niedrig viskose Walz-

1784, Blatt 3, gilt. Die Tendenz geht Die nach dem letzten Glühprozeß erhaltenen sogenannten "Weißen Foverwendet, für die der DIN-Entwurf langsam weiter zu dünneren Folien; Die Lösung des Problems der → Porosität wird jedoch bedeutend schwieriger. 6,75 and 6,35 µm sind bereits realisient.

dem → Technischen Sektor vielfältig eingesetzt. Beispiele für einige auf der Hannovernesse Inclustrie Mai 1990 gezeigte neue Entwicklungen sind: netes Warmerückstrahlvermögen das nige Anwendungen geeignet. Sie dienen vor alkem zur Schokoladenverpackung, weil sie durch ihr ausgezeich-

Stelle der bisher verwendeten Elemente oven sehr heiß. Isolationselemente aus beidseitig mit Allaminium-Folie verpresten Mineralwollen bringen hier an aus Voll-Aluminium wesentliche Ge-1. Schall- und Hitzeschutz. Im Motorbesondere die Bereiche mit Katalysarum von Kraftfahrzeugen werden inswichtseinsparung haltsfolien, - Kondensatorfolien und als → Isolierfolien eingesetzt. In dea ien und -bänder jedoch vor ihrem Einsatz noch behandelt oder veredelt. Beimeisten Fällen werden Aluminiumfo-

haben interessante Anwendungsgebiete 3. Als Rückseiten von Solarzellen Ahmininn-Folien in der Kfz-Industrie und im Maschinenkombiniert mit

von → Aluminium-Verbunden dient vor

allem der Verbesserung der mechanischen Eigenschaften. Diese sind, be-

2. Flexible Robue aus Glasgewebe,

spiele für Nachbehandlungen sind das → Prigen and → Bürsten. Die Veredlung durch → Lackieren, → Kaschieren, → Bedrucken oder die Herstellung bieten Ahminiam-Folien vollständige 4. Mit Aluminiumfolie verstärkte Poly-Wassendampfsperre.

propyleurohre in Wasserleitungen sind stabil auch in der Wärme. Das Durchbiegen der Rohre ("Wäscheleinen-Effekt") wird wirksam verhindert

Europa produzierte Menge lag 1988 Verbrauch ist in den einzelnen Ländern minimmfolien ist sehr groß. Die in bei über 500.000 t. Der Pro-Kopf-Die wirtschaftliche Bedeutung der Alusehr unterschiedlich (s. Tab. S. 12).

> timakn Schutz für das Füllgut. Ihre gute Wärmeleisfähigkeit wirkt sich bei der → Dampfsterilisation und beim →

deshalb als Verpackungsmaterial op-

zeichnen, obwohl die Tendenz zu imner dünneren Folien offensichtlich ist lere Mengensteigerung von 3% zu verin den letzten Jahren war eine mitt-

Heißsiegeln günstig aus. Sie stehen in der Verpackung im Weitbewerb mit ien, die durch Metallisieren modifiziert sein können. Aluminium- und Kunststoffolien bilden jedoch in sehr viclen Anwendungsgebieten eine wertvolle

den meist transparenten Kunststoffo-

Der Ameil an Folien mit Dicken unter 7 µm stieg um 14% im Jahre 1980 auf 25% im Jahre 1988.

> Aluminiumfolien wurden neben dem Gebier der - Verpackung auch auf

gegenseite Ergänzung.

ratur schützen. Dies war das älteste Anweochingsgebiet für Alufolien. Weiße Alufolien werden ferner als → Haus-Füllgut vor Einwirkung höberer Tempe-

zelfolien auseinandergewickelt. Durch schmeidig und dehnbar gemacht. Die das Glühen sind die Folien völlig keim-Folica-Doppelhahn wird dam in Einspruching beim Folkenwalzen wird das Material erneot sehr hart und erlaubt keine Formänderung mehr. Durch einen weiteren Glühvorgang bei etwa 400°C wenden die Folien wieder ge-

lekulare → Polybutene bewährt.

Abminimatolien sind ab 20 µm Dicke

beim Rohprodukt unbefriedigend.

absolut undurchlässig für Wasserdampf,

band näher beschriebene Struktur des Materials, für viele Anwendungsfälle

dingt durch die unter - Aluminium-

Gase, Aromastoffe and Licht Sie bieten

lien" sind bereits unmittelbar für eiwerden meist Folien mit 7 bis 20 µm Die Dicke der Alufolien liegt zwischen 5 and 20 µm. Für Verpackungszwecke

1. NEMANON. 404,827 ומוופמו ונריאווצי 66:60 (MOM) FLACCUS PATENTANWAL ВR

Metall (z.B. Mg. Fe, Zn) bedeutet, sind zrembeh saurebeständig; sie finden sich in der Natur als "Sprüedle. – E=F aluminates – I alluminati – S

Lik.: Gmelin, Syst.-Nr. 35, Al, Tl, B, 1933, S, 360–367 • Kirk-Ohmer (3) 2, 197–202 • Ulbrann (3) A.I, 524–535 • Womacker-Küchler (4) 3, 33–34 • s.a. Abminium

Alembiide s. Ahminium-Legicrungen.

– F shminizge – I alluminizare, alluministura – S2. B. darch * Alitizen od. * Plattieres. - E aluminizing Aluminteren. Nach DIN 50902 (Juli 1975) allg. Bez. für das Aufbringen von Überzügen aus *Aluminium,

Lit.: Euronam 154 (Dez. 1980).

ewels für 99,98%iges Al. Für elektr. Leiter werden neben dem E.Al (>99,5% Al) Sonderlegierungen verwendet, die aufgrund ihres Gehaltes an Fe Pulver (nicht phlegmatisiert) ist an Luft selbstentandboh; MAK 6 mg Femstaub/m3. Al ist en guter Leter fur Warme (1=2,1-2,32 W/cm K) u. clektr. Strom $(\kappa = 34 - 38 \text{ m/Dmm}^2)$, die Höchstwerte gelten <0.8%) v.a. Zasātzen bei kaum verminderter Leitn kristallisiert kutrisch flächenzentriert, D. 2702. Leichtmetall), Schmp. 660,37°, Sdp. 2467°; Alradicakt. Isotope 2*AL11Al mit HWZ im Sek.-Bereich (außer 2*Al mit 720000a) bekannt. Al ist silberweiß Gew. 26,98154. Natūrliches Al besteht ausschließlich aus dem einzigen stabilen Isotop 27, daneben sind Gruppe u. der 3. Periode des *Periodensystems, Ordnungszahl 13, Wertigkeit 3 (schr selten !), At-Aluminium (Symbol Al). Metallisches "Erdmetall) chem. Element aus der 3. fahigkeit besere mechan. Big. aufweisen.

Die das Al schützende Oxidechicht ist im pH-Bereich zwischen 4,5 u. 8,5 weitgehend unlöstich. Von Bieiwachst die glassriege Oxid-Schicht weiter, ihre Dicke tans z. B. bei zweistündigen Erhitzen auf 550° von 5 kift sich die Al-Oberfläche durch Fe-Einlagerung hārten (vgl. Lit.), Al ist weich- u. hartkötbar in Gegenwart von Flußmitteln, im Vak. od. unter Schitzeas. Ein Al-Lot besteht z. B. aus einer Al-Leg. mit 7-12% Silicium. Das Verhalten von Al in wass. Medien ist vom pH-Wert des Elektrolyten abbängig. Die Schntrschicht ist zunächst nur wenige Zehntel nm dick, wāchst in einem Monat auf 5-10 nm an u. bleibt dam fast unverändert, selbst wenn man längere Zeit auf 400° erwärmt. Erst bei 450–500° eaf über 20 nm zunehmen. Darch Elektronenstrahlen Oxid-Schicht, die sich z. B. auf frisch angeritzten Al an der Luft u. im Wasser schon in wenigen Sek. bildet. des Al beruht auf einer wenige Mokkül-Lagen. dicken, harten, zusammenhängenden, durchsichtigen Spannugardie zwischen Mangan u. Magnesium). fundlicher als z.B. Fe. Die Korrosionsbeständigkeit Obgicich Al ein sehr modika Metall ist (es steht in der ist es gegen Sauerstoff u. Luftfeweinigkeit viel unemp-

Petroleum, (talte) Salpctersanre u. die meisten Es unempfundlich gegen Ahminium Salze, ether. Öle, Benzin, Benzol, Bier, Fette, Fixiersalz, Glycerin, Harze, Kahiumpermanganat, Lache, chenamittel. Näheres über das Korrosonsverhalben angegriffen, frisch bergestellten, schutzschichtfreies you Wasser u. niederen Alkokolen. des A. fradet man in Lil.2. Dagregan is Al-Puirer a

Salzen zum Wasser zögern das Welken von Schmitt-Metall. Al ist als kosmet. Färbemittel zugelarsen, v. cinige seiner Verb. Impieren aufgrund acktringierender u.ä. Effekte als *Antihidrotika. Zusätze von Al-Stoffwechsel stören können. Über mögliche ecitechandling mit Atuminicahydroxid s. Lii. doch sollen nach anderen Untersuchungen 5 hobe 0,07-0,185 mg Al u. Rindfleisch 0,1-0,8 mg Al/ 100 g) die *Artenosklerose fördern u. den Phosphat-Bezinträchigungen von Gehirnfunktionen bei Lang-Al-Gehalte in der Nahrung (Schweinefleisch enthält 150 mg Al; der größte Teil der täglich aufgenommesen Al-Menge (10-40 mg) geht umesorbiert in den Kot über. In den menschlichen Geweben liegen die Konz. an Al im alk. zwischou 0,004 u. 0,5 mg pro 100 g Gewebe. Fûr Leber wurden Werte von 0,16 mg/ Al u in Kontakt mit Lebensmitteln enistehende Al-Verb. geiten generell als toxikolog, unbedenklich. Physiolog.: Der menschäche Körper enthält 50- $(00~\mathrm{g}$ u. für Herz $0.056~\mathrm{mg/100}$ g gefunden, vgl. Lit.

Kupferron u.a., vgl. dazu Lit.*, über mikroanalyt. Verfahren s. Lit.* Über spektropkotometr. Best. von wiegt das entstandene Al₂O₃. Mehr od. weniget spezif. wirkende Al-Reaganzien (s. dort) sind Aurussog. Cobaltblas entsteht. Spuren von Al in 1sg. (pH 5-7) erkennt man (selbst neben Beryllium) an der Lsg. von • Marin austritt. In der quant. Analyse wird Al als Oxidhydrat ausgreallt; dieses glübt man u. tricarbonsaure, Alizarin, Eriochromeyanin R. 8-Hydroxychinolin, Chloranilsaure, Chinalizarin, Al neben Beryllium 1. Lit. 19, u. zur potentiomett. grünen Fluorezzuz, die nach Zusatz einer alkohol. mit wenig Kobeltnitrat-Lag. u. giüht wieder, wobei Analyt.: Man ghibt die Al-Salze Nüchtiger Säuren an der Luft (Bildung von Al₂O₃), befeuchtet das Al₂O₃ Best, von Al mittels Fluorid-Elektroden s. Lit. 1. bhrmen hinaus

Sauerstoff u. Sheium das dritthäufigste Element der Erdkruste vor dem Eisen. Wegen seiner starken Affinitat zum Sauerstoff findet man es alkerdings nie Orthoklas, Na[AISi,0e] = Albit, Ca[Al $_2$ Si $_2$ Oe] = Vort.: Etwa 8,13% der 16 km dicken Frdkruste bestehen aus Al. Dieses est somit das bei weiten haufight Mehil unseres Lebenstraumes u. nach gediegen, sondern stets in Form seiner Verbindungen. Al ist in viden *Feldspitten (z.B. K[AlSi,08] Aporthit) u. *Ghamera (z. B.

CaAl, [Al,Si,Olo](OH); = Margarit) u. area Verwitterungsprod., den Tonen, in großem Umfang KAI2[AIS; JO 10](OH.F)2 = Muskowit,

Salzen, Bromwasserstoffsäure, Essenchlorid, Fluß-

gainge Vork. Von den anderen Al-Minealen wie Ahmit, Anorthit, Nephelin, Kaolin u. den Tonen kan Republik, USA, Brasilien, UGSR, China, Indien u. Indonesien, über die größten Vorräte u. Kryolith, desen Vorräte auf Grönland weitgehend verfügen Gwinea v. Australien. Die BRD besitzt nur nacht man zur Al-Gewinnung noch kraun Gebrauch. Mineral ist woo Eisenoxiden meist röllich gefärbte Banxit, det der Hamptache aus einem Genenge Aluminismhydroxid-Mineralen (vorwiegend Böhmit) besteltt. Größere Lager von "Banxit (quant. Angaben s. dort) befoden sich in Sädnsteuropa. Frastreich, Janzilz, Guayana, Surman, Domini-Das für die Al-Gewörmung bei weitem wichligste

*Kaoine v. a. *Tone aufgeschlossen werden 14, doch vällig entvässert (*calciniert), wobei man Drehrohr-öfen od. Wirbeischichtöfen 11 benutzt (Abb. \$ 8. Auf. dieses Werkes). Statt Baurit könnten auch noch keine wirtschaftlich konkurrenzfähigen (sog. Rotschlamm). Zur Löslichkeit von Böhmit in sich nach Impfen, Abkütsimg unter 90° u. beim Verdumen mit Wasser krist. Hydrargilit (rgl. Aliminambydroxide) aux. Dieser wird bei 1200-1300° NaOH S. Lit. 12. Aus der Na-Ahminat-Leg. scheidet woben die Oxide des Fe, St. Ti urw. ungelöst bleiben ken nach dem 1892 patentierten *Bayer-Verfahre*n (des Österreichers K. I Bayer) zerklenert, getrocknet, zu Rohraufschiuß im Durchlauf durch Einwirkung konz. Natroniange in Na.*Aluminat umgewandelt, femen Pulver zermahlen u. in Autokiaven od. als sog. manoxid muß sehr rein sein, deshalb zerfallt die Herst des Al in zwei Arbeitsgäuße, nämlisch die Gewinnung von reinem Aluminiumoxid u. die eigentiche Elektrolyse. Zunächst werden die Bauxit-Broktrolyse einer Lsg. von Aluminiumoxid in geschmolze-Herat. Diese erfolgt frat ausschließlich durch Elekuem Kryolith (Nas[AIFa]). Das verwendete Alumieschopft sind, gewinnt men beute synthetisch. Verfahren entwickelt worden. Til.

Gleichstrom zerlegt (4–5 V, 80000–150008 A, 950°). Das fürsege Al (D. 2,34) sammelt sich auf dem Boden Das trockene Al₂O₃ wird nun mit der ca. 10-20fachen Menge an synther. Kryolith (wirkt als der mit Köhle ausgebleideten, als Kathode dienenden Warne unter der vor Rückonidation schützenden Schmetz (D. 2,15). Die als Anode wirkenden Kohleektroden (Blockanoden od. *Söderberg-Elektro den) werden allmählich durch den freiwerdenden vermischt u. in Elektrolysezellen durch Legm. a. erniodnigt den Schurp. von 2050° auf 950-Saucrstoff zerstört: 2Al203+3C - 4Al+3CO1

ren genamste – Prozeß kontinuertich gestalten. Zur Gewinnung von 1t Rütten-Ahminium (99,5-99,8%ig) benödigt man 41 Bauxit (gibt 21 Al₂O₃), terials läßt sich der - nach den unabbängig voneinander arbeitenden Erfindern auch Hall-Heroult-Verfah-Durch laufenden Ersatz verbranchten Elektrodenma- $AI_2O_3+3C \rightarrow 2AI+3C0$

Schicht durch chem od. ekkt

trolyze von AlG, (aus Al₁O₂ a. C. H wonnen werden kann) u. das Tot H Reduktion von AlG, mit Mn (ents P wird in MnO₂ u. C.; ungewandelt, t. R in den Prozeß zurück) entwickelt. P Hall-Héroult-Verfahren haben sie jed (MON) zentoxischen Flaors wurde durch vert 01 in den 70er Jahren das Alcoca-Verfi V newischen sind Elektrolysezellen mit 2 wickelt, n. such durch bessere Methode Aufschlusses, z.B. im Rohr statt in der zwangsweise Anfall des Rotechl Zasamonsdzung im Trockenzustan Abfallproduktes rach wie vor deponi Kryofith-Elektrolyse rachteilige Emis den Prozeß zurückgewonnen. Für ein gere a. umweltfreundlichne Al-Proc Glühverhat, 15-23% Al₂0₃, 24-25 11% TiO2, 5-20% SiO2, 5-12% Na2! an. Ivotz neuerer Nutzungsmög ed, Bodenverbesserungsmittel, Fluß Stabilierstellang od. Füllstaff für bi Aenbaumaterialien wird der weitaus gr ren der Abgasteinigung beträchts. Enc orhebische Belastung des Bayer-Rotschlamm fällt in Mengen von 0,5 VAW-Verfahren s. Lit.15), läßt sich Manerziegel, Gasteinigungsmassen, gleichzeitig werden damit Al-Flud pratrische Bedeutung erlangt. STOMBLINGE (1974) AND rationeller gestalten.

ns vinous and Dicke versibelet & Metallen üblichen "Umform-Verfal w bar, insbes. Strangpressen (warr w Kalthießpressen. Da Al em ziemb w Gländer, mit od. ohne elektr. St. v. grod. Oxidation), Verstärkung de w ren, Bürsten, Strabben mit AlStr Beiz- u. Atzbebandlung mit Lauge Sehandár- od. Umsolonetr-Alamária unterscheiden: Mechan. Verfahrer u. elektrolyt. Polieren (Emvit. Für manche Zwecke reicht die Rein Al von 99,5-99,8% nicht aus. Er m weiteren elektrolyt. Raffmation unte Durch Zonenchmelzen bann Al durch Unschmelzen u. elektrolyt. ist, schmicht es en bein Spanen. Die von Halbzong aus Rein-Al liegt je : stand zwischen 40 a. 160 N/m von > 99,999% hergestellt werden. Oberfläckenbehandlang kann man f Im weichgeglühten Zustand weist bis 0,004 mm durch Hänmern Bruchdehamg auf; es kann du tion zarückgeführt.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Reinhard Koch, Frank Müller and Jorgen Friies

Serial No.

09/308,407

Filed

July 8, 1999

Title

Group

1772

Examiner

M. Miggins

Attorney Dkt No.

RO0230US (#90568)

APPENDIX A Claims as Currently Presented

SEALING MEDIUM FOR COMPOSITE PACKAGING MATERIALS

Claims:

- 9. Packaging system made of composite packaging material for the sealed enclosure of products being subject to changes due to exchange with their environment or to partial volatilization of active ingredient comprising a barrier layer on the product side, to which barrier layer there is applied a heat sealing layer wherein the heat sealing layer is formed by an active ingredient-resistant layer having a layer thickness of maximally 15 g/m² weight per unit area, said active-ingredient resistant layer being applied from the liquid phase in a printing method and, after heat-activated sealing, displaying adhesion forces which are in the region of strength of the packaging materials.
- 10. The packaging system of claim 9 wherein the heat sealing layer covers only the sealing area.
- 11. The packaging system of claim 9 wherein a layer thickness of the heat sealing layer is between 2.5 and 3.5 g/m^2 weight per unit area.
- 12. The packaging system of claim 10 wherein a layer thickness of the heat sealing layer is between 2.5 and 3.5 g/m² weight per unit area.
- 13. The packaging system of claim 9 wherein the heat sealing layer comprises an

ethylene/methacrylic acid copolymer dispersion which displays no measurable active ingredient uptake.

- 14. The packaging system of claim 9 wherein the barrier layer comprises aluminum.
- 15. The packaging system of claim 9 wherein the chemical composition of the heat sealing layer is inert to nicotine.
- 16. The packaging system of claim 10 comprising a layer within a surface encompassed by the sealing area, said layer being able to enter into interaction with the packaged product.
- 17. The packaging system of claim 16 wherein the encompassed layer is formed by a moisture absorbent layer.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Reinhard Koch, Frank Müller and Jorgen Friies

Serial No. : 09/308,407

Filed : July 8, 1999

Title : SEALING MEDIUM FOR COMPOSITE PACKAGING MATERIALS

Group : 1772

Examiner : M. Miggins

Attorney Dkt No. : RO0230US (#90568)

APPENDIX B

Claim 17 as in Proposed Amendment

17. The packaging system of claim 16 wherein the [encompassed] layer is formed by a moisture absorbent layer.